

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/826,726 | 04/05/2001 | Cecilia Brandel | 47253-00006 | 6053 |
| 7590 03/29/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Richard J. Moura, Esq. | | | LEWIS, MICHAEL A | |
| Jenkens and Gilchrist, P.C. 3200 Fountain Place 1445 Ross Ave. Dallas, TX 75202 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2655 DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/826,726 | BRANDEL ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Lewis A Michael | 2655 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2001. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6 & 10-13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or or other subjects. | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| | xamiller. Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of | on No. <u>04</u> . ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06,3 | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/826,726

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/826,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed January 23, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2): It requires a legible copy of the PTO-1449 Form. The references, Brandel et al., "Speech Enhancement by Speech Rate Conversion" and the EPO Search Report, 8/14/01, have cited on PTO-892.

2. Note that the two IDS (1449) forms submitted on 8/30/01 and 4/5/01 are duplicates of each other except for the Atkinson reference.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4 –6 and 10 - 13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims cannot depend on multiple dependent claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-6 & 10-13 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,726 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

2. Claims 1-3 & 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeldener (U.S. Patent 6456965) in view of lijima et al. (U.S. Patent 5930747) and further in view of Huang (6418407).

Regarding claims 1 & 7, Yeldener discloses a method of estimating the pitch of a speech signal comprising the steps of (Fig.2):

- (1) Dividing the speech signal into segments (Col 3,Line 37)
- (2) Detecting peaks in the filtered speech, characterized in that the method further comprises the steps of:
 - (i) Calculating an average value of pitch estimates estimated in a number of previous segments (Abstract (step25)).
 - (ii) Calculating for each peak in the filtered speech by taking the difference [mean squared error (difference measure)] between the position of the peak candidate [peak of synthesized signal] and input speech (Col 5, Line 31; Col 6,Lines 7 29). Using the position of the peak having the smallest mean squared error value of said difference as an estimate of the pitch.

Yeldener does not disclose calculating for each segment a conformity function.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,726

Art Unit: 2655

Page 5

However, lijima et al. teach the use of filtering and autocorrelation function in the extraction of the pitch period (Fig1 (13,17); Abstract). The use of an autocorrelation function helps to calculate high intensity/pitch lag information used in pitch extraction.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify Yeldener with the use of the use of an autocorrelation conformity function as taught by lijima et al. since it would have increased the accuracy of the pitch period estimation.

The modified Yeldener discloses the use of the average pitch period calculated from previous segments, however, the function of taking the difference between the peak candidates represented by the samples within a segment and the average pitch period is not disclosed. However, Huang et al. teaches the use of calculating a roughness factor that uses the differences between a peak value represented by the samples within a segment and an average of sample values of the two adjacent peaks. The roughness factor is used in future frames to make decisions on pitch candidates.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to further modify the modified Yeldener with the use of peak difference

Art Unit: 2655

estimate between an average as taught by Huang et al. since it would have increased the accuracy of the pitch period estimation.

Regarding claims 2 & 8,the modified Yeldener discloses a method characterized in that it further comprises the steps of: sampling the speech signal to obtain a series of samples, and performing said division into segments such that each segment has a fixed number of consecutive samples (Col 3, Lines 10 - 37).

Regarding claims 3 & 9, the modified Yeldener discloses a method characterized in that it further comprises the steps of: estimating a set of filter parameters using linear predictive analysis (LPA), providing a modified signal (26) by filtering the speech signal through a filter based on said estimated set of filter parameters, and calculating said conformity function of the modified signal (Fig. 1A(110)).

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gustafsson et al.

U.S. Patent (6704711)

Satyamurti et al.

U.S. Patent (6418405)

Sasaki

U.S. Patent (6377915)

Dubnowski et al.

U.S. Patent (4015088)

Application/Control Number: 09/826,726

Art Unit: 2655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael A. Lewis whose telephone number is 703 305-

8730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 am – 5

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lewis A Michael Examiner

Art Unit 2655

Mal

3/16/2004

DORIS H TO

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600